

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,665	05/03/2001	Richard W. Parod	Lindsay 52	7328	
7:	590 02/03/2003				
Joel H. Bock			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams - Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			KIM, CHRIS	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
			ART UNIT PAPER NUME		
,			3752	3752	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/848,665	PAROD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher S. Kim	3752			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 L	December 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-32 and 37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>33-36 and 38-44</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
C D-1117	-11-06					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Invention I and Species C (figures 12, 13) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-332 and 37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 33, 36, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sesser (4,676,438).

Sesser discloses an irrigation assembly comprising: a main pipeline 14; mobile towers 18; a plurality of drop tube assemblies 22; a plurality of stationary toughs (as shown in the bottom left corner of figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/848,665 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 34, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sesser (4,676,438) in view of Stoddart (632,795).

With respect to claims 34, 35 and 44, Sesser discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the trough having a plurality of underground drains and a weir. Stoddart discloses a trough **a** having a plurality of underground drains **b** and a weir (one or both ends of the distributor are closed (lines 19-20). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a trough to the device of Sesser as taught by Stoddart to distribute liquids in fine streams or drops (Stoddart, lines 9-12).

With respect to claims 38, 39, 40, 41 and 43, Sesser in view of Stoddart discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the trough being a pipe with a slot located in the top. Stoddart discloses a V-shaped trough having a slot located in the top. Providing a pipe (a circular or rounded shape) instead of a V-shape is a mere change in shape. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a pipe (a pipe shaped trough) in the device of Sesser in view of Stoddart to decrease sharp edges.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,665

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK January 30, 2003